## **ARIC Manuscript Proposal # 1535**

PC Reviewed SC Reviewed		Status: <u>A</u> Status:	Priority: 2 Priority:
<b>1.a. Full Title</b> Thromboembo	e: Genome Wide Association olism	Study (GWAS) for Venous	
b. Abbrevia	nted Title (Length 26 charact	ters): GWAS for VTE	
ARIC: Aaron CHS: Mary Co Seattle case-co	roup members: This involves Folsom, Weihong Tang, Saor ushman, Susan Heckbert ontrol study: Nick Smith, Bruc runo Stricker and others	ıli Basu, Jim Pankow, Eric Bo	
	nor, confirm that all the coauth oposalAF [please conf		
First aut Address:	hor: Aaron Folsom UMN		
	Phone: 612-626-8962 E-mail: folso001@umn.edu	Fax: 612-624-0315	
	to be contacted if there are quested or cannot be located (this mu	•	he first author
	Phone: E-mail:	Fax:	
3. Timeline	: Summer 2009		

4. Rationale:

VTE is an important vascular disease and public health problem. It clearly has a genetic component and several uncommon mutations (e.g., Factor V Leiden) have been identified. Some individual studies have identified a few common variants in coagulation genes related to VTE (1,2), but only one small whole GWAS has been done. The GWAS found no new SNP associations with VTE (3).

One of the main aims of the LITE (ARIC/CHS) renewal was to perform a GWAS of VTE. We have put together a consortium from multiple studies to accomplish that aim.

## References

- 1. Bezemer ID, Bare LA, Doggen CJ, Arellano AR, Tong C, Rowland CM, Catanese J, Young BA, Reitsma PH, Devlin JJ, Rosendaal FR. Gene variants associated with deep vein thrombosis. JAMA. 2008 Mar 19;299(11):1306-14.
- 2. Smith NL, Hindorff LA, Heckbert SR, Lemaitre RN, Marciante KD, Rice K, Lumley T, Bis JC, Wiggins KL, Rosendaal FR, Psaty BM. Association of genetic variations with nonfatal venous thrombosis in postmenopausal women. JAMA. 2007 Feb 7:297(5):489-98.
- 3. Trégouët DA, Heath S, Saut N, Biron-Andreani C, Schved JF, Pernod G, Galan P, Drouet L, Zelenika D, Juhan-Vague I, Alessi MC, Tiret L, Lathrop M, Emmerich J, Morange PE. Common susceptibility alleles are unlikely to contribute as strongly as the FV and ABO loci to VTE risk: results from a GWAS approach. Blood. 2009 May 21;113(21):5298-303.

## 5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

Common variants for VTE in whites will be found.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

The ARIC data on VTE will be analyzed in relation to measured and imputed SNP data available on ARIC subjects. Subjects on warfarin at baseline will be excluded. This will be a meta analysis, based on each study's results, following recommended CHARGE analysis methods. Associations for ARIC/CHS will be assessed using proportional hazards regression models. The main model will be minimally adjusted (e.g., by sex and age). VTE risk factors (obesity, factor VIII, diabetes, possibly smoking and alcohol) may be considered in additional models. At this point, interactions have not been discussed but may be an additional focus of the working group.

If any SNPS are associated with VTE, replication will be sought and follow-up studies to identify possible mechanisms.

The following table summarizes the key studies to date:

## $CHARGE\ GWAS\ on\ Venous\ Thromboembolism\ (VTE)\ in\ Whites$

-				
	Rotterdam	ARIC	CHS	Group Health
Design	Cohort	Cohort	Cohort	Case-Control
a .	10.004 77	15500 15 61	# 000 c#	600
Sample	10,994, 55+ y	15,792, 45-64 y	5,888 65+ y	~600 cases
Female	(89% white)	(73% white)	(84% white)	~600 controls 100%
Matching				Frequency
Mutelling				matched to another
				case group
				2 8-2.1.F
Mean age	69 y @ start	54 y @ start	72 y @ start	65 y @ VTE
		67 y @ VTE	80 y @ VTE	
Follow-up, max	18 y	19 y	13 y	
VTE Events	247 (219 white)	517 (341 white)	210 (162 white)	~600 (~550 white)
PE	124	181	67	000 ( 220 Willie)
VT only	123	406	174	
Provoked	NA	321	89	
Unprovoked	NA	196	121	
C. and a second				1200 / 1100
Genotype <i>n</i>	7.960	11 422	2.965/a CVD	~1200 (~1100
Total	7,869 ?	11,433	3,865 w/o CVD	white)
Whites	!	?	?	
Platform	Illimina, 530K	Affy6.0, 870K	Illumina, 370K	Illumina 370CNV
Covariates				
BMI	У	у	y	Y
Smoking	y	у	y	Y
Alcohol	y	y	y	Y
fVIII	some	у	y	No
vWF	some	у	some	No
V Leiden	n	some	some	Y
prothG20210A	n	some	some	у

	Will the data be used for non-CVD analysis in this manuscript? Yes No
b.	If Yes, is the author aware that the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude persons with a value RES_OTH = "CVD Research" for non-DNA analysis, and for DNA analysis RES_DNA = "CVD Research" would be used?  Yes No  (This file ICTDER03 has been distributed to ARIC PIs, and contains the responses to consent updates related to stored sample use for research.)
8.a.	Will the DNA data be used in this manuscript?x_ YesNo
8.b.	If yes, is the author aware that either DNA data distributed by the Coordinating Center must be used, or the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude those with value RES_DNA = "No use/storage DNA"? xYesNo
Stud prev ARI	he lead author of this manuscript proposal has reviewed the list of existing ARIC dy manuscript proposals and has found no overlap between this proposal and viously approved manuscript proposals either published or still in active status. IC Investigators have access to the publications lists under the Study Members Area he web site at: <a href="http://www.cscc.unc.edu/ARIC/search.php">http://www.cscc.unc.edu/ARIC/search.php</a>
-	x Yes No
ence	What are the most related manuscript proposals in ARIC (authors are ouraged to contact lead authors of these proposals for comments on the new proposal or collaboration)?
	None
	a. Is this manuscript proposal associated with any ARIC ancillary studies or use ancillary study data?x_ Yes No
	. If yes, is the proposal _x A. primarily the result of an ancillary study (list number* 998.03)
	B. primarily based on ARIC data with ancillary data playing a minor role (usually control variables; list number(s)*

<sup>\*</sup>ancillary studies are listed by number at <a href="http://www.cscc.unc.edu/aric/forms/">http://www.cscc.unc.edu/aric/forms/</a>

2. Manuscript preparation is expected to be completed in one to three years. If a manuscript is not submitted for ARIC review at the end of the 3-years from the date of the approval, the manuscript proposal will expire.			