

ARIC Manuscript Proposal #2066

PC Reviewed: 2/12/13
SC Reviewed: _____

Status: A
Status: _____

Priority: 2
Priority: _____

1.a. Full Title: Associations between vitamin D status and diabetic retinopathy in a biracial cohort

b. Abbreviated Title (Length 26 characters): Vitamin D and diabetic retinopathy

2. Writing Group:

Writing group members: Amy E. Millen, PhD (UB*), Ronald Klein, MD (UW*), Barbara Klein, MD, (UW), Julie Mares, PhD, (UW), Kirstin Meyers, PhD (UW), Michael LaMonte, PhD (UB), Pamela Lutsey, PhD (UMinn*), Chris Andrews, PhD (UMich), Jing Nie, PhD (UB), Michelle Sahli, MS (UB),

*Institution: UB=University at Buffalo, UW=University of Wisconsin-Madison, UMinn=University of Minnesota, UMich=University of Michigan

All writing group members are co-investigators, consultants, programmers or research assistants on the R01 (ARIC Ancillary Study 2010.20) funding this project "Vitamin D Status and Retinal Diseases in Aging."

I, the first author, confirm that all the coauthors have given their approval for this manuscript proposal. _AEM_ [please confirm with your initials electronically or in writing]

First author: Amy E. Millen, PhD.

Address: University at Buffalo
Department of Social and Preventive Medicine
Farber Hall, Room 270, 3435 Main Street (South Campus)
Buffalo, NY 14214-8001

Phone: (716) 829-5377

Fax: (716) 829-2979

E-mail: aemillen@buffalo.edu

ARIC author to be contacted if there are questions about the manuscript and the first author does not respond or cannot be located (this must be an ARIC investigator).

Name: Ronald Klein

Address: Room 450, 610 Walnut St.
Madison, WI 53705

Phone: 608.263.0280

Fax: 608.263.0279

E-mail: kleinr@epi.ophth.wisc.edu

3. Timeline:

Analyses are planned to be completed between January 2013 and March 2014.

4. Rationale:

Diabetic retinopathy

In 2010 it was estimated that 12.3% of the US population aged 20-79 years have diabetes and this will increase to 14.0% by 2030 (1). A common complication of individuals with diabetes is diabetic retinopathy which is the leading cause of blindness in U.S. working aged adults (20-74 years) (2). Early retinopathy is defined by the presence of retinal microaneurysms, blot hemorrhage and hard exudate formation and termed non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR). Later stages of disease exhibit retinal angiogenesis, bleeding and blindness (3) concomitantly with retinal neuronal and glial damage (4) and is termed proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR). Retinopathy affects ~40.3% of people with diabetes aged 40 years and older and is vision threatening in ~8.2% (1 in 12 persons) (5). Identifying modifiable risk factors and effective means of treatment to prevent or slow progression of retinopathy is of significant public health importance to preserving individual's quality of life and functional independence.

It has been hypothesized that a person's vitamin D status may affect whether or not he or she develops early-stage, or progresses to later-stages of diabetic retinopathy. Vitamin D is a fat soluble vitamin and is obtained from diet, supplements and sunlight. Humans can synthesize vitamin D in from a cholesterol precursor found in their skin upon exposure to ultraviolet B radiation. The major circulating and storage form of vitamin D is 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D), which reflects intake from all sources. 25(OH)D is the preferred biomarker to assess vitamin D status because it reflects intake over the last 3 weeks unlike the active hormone, 1,25 di-hydroxyvitamin D (1,25(OH)₂D), which is highly regulated and has a half-life of ~4 hours (reviewed in (6)). The active hormone, 1,25(OH)₂D, binds to the vitamin D receptor (VDR), promoting heterodimerization of the VDR with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) (7). The VDR is expressed in vertebrate retina tissue (8, 9) and expressed in human cultured retinal endothelial cells (10). Vitamin D's proposed effects on ocular health are via the ligand/VDR/RXR complex's transcription factor activities (7) which affect the expression of genes involved in maintaining a multitude of biological processes (11, 12). Vitamin D is hypothesized to prevent against development or progression of retinopathy due to its anti-inflammatory, anti-angiogenic, and anti-hypertensive effects as well as its hypothesized benefit on blood glucose control and insulin sensitivity.

Studies of retinal microvascular endothelial cells (13) and animal models of diabetes (14) suggest that chronic low grade inflammation plays a role in the development of diabetic retinopathy (15). High blood glucose is thought to increased adhesion of leukocytes to microvascular endothelial cells leading to cell damage and impaired blood flow (14, 16) and consequential retinopathy lesions (4, 17). In humans, some (18-20) but not all (21-23) studies have shown associations between retinopathy and systemic markers of inflammation. Additionally, vitreous concentrations of cytokines have been found to be higher in patients with proliferative retinopathy compared to persons without retinopathy (24-26). Vitamin D may protect against retinopathy via its anti-inflammatory properties. VDR is expressed on cells of the human immune system (27-32). 1,25(OH)₂D, has been shown to suppress pro-inflammatory cytokines and toxic agents *in vitro* (33-35), and increase activities of T-helper 2 cells (anti-inflammatory) and suppress T-helper 1 cell (pro-inflammatory) response (36, 37), as well as to reduce the damaging effects of AGEs,

thought to induce an inflammatory response, in cultured endothelial cells (38). In this way, vitamin D may help prevent or ameliorate the inflammatory state that may promote retinopathy.

Proliferative diabetic retinopathy involves retinal angiogenesis, leading to retinal bleeding and loss of vision. Vitamin D has also been shown to inhibit angiogenesis in cultured endothelial cells (39), animal models of retinoblastoma (40) and oxygen-induced ischemic retinopathy (41), suggesting that being of a sufficient vitamin D status, as compared to being deficient for vitamin D, could prevent proliferative retinopathy.

Hypertension is an established risk factor for diabetic retinopathy (42). Recent evidence in human observational and clinical trials suggests that vitamin D is involved in blood pressure maintenance (43). Furthermore, studies in VDR knockout mice suggest that vitamin D regulates the Renin-Angiotensin System (44), and studies in 1 α -hydroxylase knockout mice (45) show that increased blood pressure is independent of calcium and phosphorus and stabilized with 1,25(OH)₂D, suggesting vitamin D suppresses renin expression. Thus, deficiency of vitamin D may impact the renal system's influence on hypertension and related complications such as diabetic retinopathy.

Hyperglycemia is also an established risk factor for diabetic retinopathy (46). Epidemiologic studies have observed inverse associations between vitamin D status and markers of blood glucose control ((47, 48) and reviewed in (49)). The VDR is expressed in human pancreatic beta-cells (50) and 1,25(OH)₂D has been shown to increase insulin secretion in vitamin D deficient rats (51), suggesting that vitamin D deficiency may lead to poor blood glucose control. Sufficient vitamin D status could help prevent development of retinopathy if adequate vitamin D status helps maintain good blood glucose control.

Epidemiologic Evidence of Vitamin D Status and Diabetic Retinopathy

Compelling research in animal models (oxygen-induced ischemic retinopathy (41)) suggest that vitamin D may be protective against diabetic retinopathy, but epidemiologic data on the relationship between vitamin D status and retinopathy are limited. Two small clinical studies have investigated associations between vitamin D status and retinopathy. A study in 66 participants with noninsulin dependent diabetes (52) found lower concentrations of serum 1,25(OH)₂D, but not 25(OH)D concentrations, among patients with pre-proliferative and proliferative diabetic retinopathy compared to patients with no or background retinopathy. A different paper presented data examining associations between diabetic retinopathy and serum 25(OH)D concentrations in 221 patients with and without diabetes (53). Payne et al. observed significant differences in vitamin D insufficiency (defined as <75 nmol/L) between study groups characterized by diabetes status and presence or absence of retinopathy, with the greatest percentage of deficient individuals (81%) among those with proliferative retinopathy. These associations in clinical studies could reflect changes in vitamin D status subsequent to the development of retinal disease and were not adjusted for numerous confounding influences.

In a previously conducted cross-sectional analysis in 581 Japanese men and women outpatients with type 2 diabetics, statistically significantly lower 25(OH)D concentrations were observed in participants with proliferative versus no diabetic retinopathy (48). In a multivariate analysis adjusted for potential confounding factors, researchers observed a statistically significant association between decreasing serum 25(OH)D and number of microvascular complications. No multivariate analyses were conducted for diabetic retinopathy alone. A recent paper using the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) showed statistically significantly increasing proportions of individuals with vitamin D deficiency with increasing

retinopathy severity, with the biggest differences observed in those with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (54). However, these analyses were not presented by race group or adjusted for season of blood draw. Differently, in a recently published, 26-year follow-up study of 220 patients with Type 1 diabetes attending a diabetes center, no associations were observed between plasma 25(OH)D concentrations and incidence of either background or proliferative diabetic retinopathy in adjusted analyses (47). However, this study was limited by its sample size and lack of data on season of blood draw which influences vitamin D status. All noted studies, except NHANES III, diagnosed retinopathy through eye examinations, not graded retinal photographs.

In the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study we have the opportunity to investigate associations between serum 25(OH)D status and diabetic retinopathy in a population-based cohort of Caucasian and African American men and women with primarily Type 2 diabetes (n=1,612). Diabetic retinopathy was assessed from graded fundus photographs. Further, we have the availability of numerous medical and lifestyle variables to explore as potential confounding factors of the observed relationship.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

Main Study Question

Is there an association between vitamin D status, assessed at Visit 2 (1990-1992), and the presence of diabetic retinopathy assessed at Visit 3 (1993-1995) among 1,612 individuals with prevalent diabetes at Visit 3?

Main Hypothesis

We hypothesize that among ARIC participants with serum 25(OH)D measurements at visit 2 and prevalent diabetes and diabetic retinopathy assessment at visit 3, those with adequate (>50 nmol/L) compared to those with deficient or inadequate vitamin D status, based on concentrations of 25(OH)D at Visit 2, will have lower odds ratios for retinopathy.

Additional Study Questions

Are blood pressure or glycosylated hemoglobin pathway variables in the association between vitamin D and diabetic retinopathy?

Does race, gender, or blood glucose control modify the association between vitamin D and diabetic retinopathy?

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Disease endpoints

Prevalent retinopathy was determined from grading of retinal photographs taken at Visit 3 (1993-1995) of one randomly selected eye. Participants sat in a dark room for 5 minutes to allow for nonpharmacological pupil dilation (55). One 45-degree nonmydriatic retinal photograph was taken with a Canon CR-45UAF nonmydriatic film camera (Canon USA, Itasca, IL) and was centered to include the optic disc and the macula (55). Retinal photographs were graded for the presence and severity of DR at the University of Wisconsin Fundus Photograph Reading Center using a standard grading system for participants, the modified Arlie House classification scheme (56). The eyes were categorized into NPDR and PDR. Our main aim is powered to investigate the

outcomes of any diabetic retinopathy and NPDR. However because we have data on more detailed categorization of these diseases, associations of vitamin D and the presence of mild NPDR, moderate NPDR, and PDR will be explored. There are 345 individuals out of the 1,612 persons with diabetes who have retinopathy. Of these, 259 have mild NPDR, 53 have moderate to severe PDR, and 33 have PDR.

Assessment of blood vitamin D status

Serum was obtained at ARIC Visit 2 (1990-92) will be used to assess 25(OH)D with liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry at the Collaborative Studies Clinical Laboratory at Fairview University Medical Center (Minneapolis, MN). All vitamin D assays are covered by Dr. Lutsey’s R01 (Lutsey: R01 HL103706).

Proposed analysis

Participant characteristics and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy will be examined by vitamin D status as well as by severity level of diabetic retinopathy in order to identify potential confounders of the association between vitamin D status and diabetic retinopathy. Characteristics and risk factors measured at Visit 2, at the same time point as the exposure of serum 25(OH)D, will be used if possible. If this is not possible, risk factors available at Visit 1, as in the case of physical activity, will be used. If a risk factor is not available at Visits 1 or 2, then risk factor data from Visit 3 will be used. We will also explore whether composite variables using data from all multiple Visits, if available, (e.g., 6 year average in systolic blood pressure) might be a stronger risk factor for retinopathy than a risk factor measured at any one time point. Differences in characteristics by level of serum 25(OH)D and severity of retinopathy will be tested using t-t-tests, ANOVAs or chi-square tests depending on the nature of the variable being compared (e.g., continuous vs. categorical). A table will be presented showing characteristics by level of serum 25(OH)D concentrations.

Logistic regression models will be used to estimate the association between the log odds for any prevalent retinopathy in participants with adequate (≥ 50 nmol/L) compared to deficient or inadequate 25(OH)D concentrations (< 50 nmol/L). Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) will be reported. In addition to testing the pre-specified threshold (50 nmol/L), exploratory analyses will be conducted to investigate the optimal thresholds of 30 nmol/L and 75 nmol/L and 25(OH)D will as be modeled as a continuous variable. Cubic splines will be utilized if necessary, to help us determine the most appropriate representation of the dose-response between 25(OH)D concentrations and diabetic retinopathy. Further exploratory analyses will investigate results for subgroups of NPDR as well as PDR. Lastly, we will explore associations between vitamin D status and severity of diabetic retinopathy using ordinal logistic regression.

Table 1 shows that we have adequate power ($\geq 80\%$) to see effect sizes of 0.65 or greater.

Table 1: Power (%) to detect noted odds ratios when comparing the odds of NPDR among participants with adequate (25(OH)D > 50 nmol/L) compared to deficient or inadequate (25(OH)D ≤ 50 nmol/L) vitamin D status.*							
Odds Ratio	0.60	0.65	0.70	0.75	0.80	0.85	0.90
Prevalent NPDR (Non proliferative diabetic retinopathy) (n=272) at Visit 3 (V3) in 1,600 individuals with diabetes	96%	87%	72%	53%	35%	20%	10%
*We assumed that 35% of the ARIC cohort would have 25(OH)D concentrations < 50 nmol/L based on previous observations							

In multivariate modeling we will investigate potential confounding of our observed crude associations. These include age, race, duration of diabetes, blood cholesterol and triglycerides, hematocrit, smoking status, drinking status, physical activity, and body mass index (BMI). We will add potential confounders to our model in a step-wise fashion. Potential confounders will be added to the model if they change the OR 10% or more. The potential confounder that influences the crude OR to the greatest extent $\geq 10\%$ will be adjusted for first. Next, all other potential confounders will be added singly to the expanded model, and the second most influential potential confounder will be added to the expanded model. This step-wise process will continue until no potential confounders influence the OR $\geq 10\%$. After development of the multivariate model for diabetic retinopathy we will explore the effect of addition of blood pressure as well as glycosylated hemoglobin as pathway variables to the multivariate model. If the relationship between vitamin D and diabetic retinopathy is attenuated this may suggest that vitamin D's effects on diabetic retinopathy may be mediated through vitamin D's influence on blood pressure or blood glucose control. Crude and adjusted models will be presented and adjusted models will be presented with and without pathway variables.

We will explore effect modification of the vitamin D and diabetic retinopathy associations by gender, race, and blood glucose control as measured by glycosylated hemoglobin. We will test for interaction by adding interaction terms to our logistic regression models. A p-value < 0.10 for the interaction term will be considered statistically significant. If significant interactions are present, stratified results will be reported, though interpretation will be guided by the cell sizes and precision of effect estimates. We acknowledge that power to evaluate effect modification by gender, race is reduced compared to the power to investigate the main effects and therefore is exploratory.

Limitations and possible solutions

Our study is limited by its cross-sectional design. Another limitation of our data is the availability of retinal photographs in only one eye using film at Visit 3 for classification of retinal eye disease. Therefore, there may be misclassification of endpoints ascertained at Visit 3. As the eye chosen to be photographed at Visit 3 was done so randomly, we would expect non-differential misclassification of our endpoint which would bias our observed risk estimates toward the null. We have digital retinal photos in both eyes at Visit 5 and will be able to assess the degree of misclassification of these retinal diseases in the ARIC cohort participants if only one of the two eyes was used for determination of disease. This information will be used to investigate the effect of misclassification on our risk estimates. It is also possible that the sensitivity of digital and film for the detection of diabetic retinopathy differ. However, we have previously shown film and digital grading of diabetic retinopathy to be comparable (57).

7.a. Will the data be used for non-CVD analysis in this manuscript? Yes
 No

b. If Yes, is the author aware that the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude persons with a value RES_OTH = "CVD Research" for non-DNA analysis, and for DNA analysis RES_DNA = "CVD Research" would be used?
 Yes No

(This file ICTDER03 has been distributed to ARIC PIs, and contains the responses to consent updates related to stored sample use for research.)

Works Cited

1. Shaw JE, Sicree RA, Zimmet PZ. Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2010;87(1):4-14.
2. American Academy of Ophthalmology. *Preferred Practice Pattern: Diabetic Retinopathy*. San Francisco, Calif: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2008.
3. Porta M, Bandello F. Diabetic retinopathy: A clinical update. *Diabetologia* 2002;45(12):1617-34.
4. Stitt AW. AGEs and diabetic retinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*;51(10):4867-74.
5. Kempner JH, O'Colmain BJ, Leske MC, Haffner SM, Klein R, Moss SE, et al. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy among adults in the United States. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2004;122(4):552-63.
6. Zerwekh JE. Blood biomarkers of vitamin D status. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87(4):1087S-91S.
7. Jones G, Strugnell SA, DeLuca HF. Current understanding of the molecular actions of vitamin D. *Physiol Rev* 1998;78(4):1193-231.
8. Craig TA, Sommer S, Sussman CR, Grande JP, Kumar R. Expression and regulation of the vitamin D receptor in the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. *J Bone Miner Res* 2008;23(9):1486-96.
9. Bidmon HJ, Stumpf WE. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D₃ binding sites in the eye and associated tissues of the green lizard *Anolis carolinensis*. *Histochem J* 1995;27(7):516-23.
10. Choi D, Appukuttan B, Binek SJ, Planck SR, Stout JT, Rosenbaum JT, et al. Prediction of Cis-Regulatory Elements Controlling Genes Differentially Expressed by Retinal and Choroidal Vascular Endothelial Cells. *J Ocul Biol Dis Infor* 2008;1(1):37-45.
11. Holick MF. Vitamin D Deficiency. *NEJM* 2007;357:266-81.
12. Ramagopalan SV, Heger A, Berlanga AJ, Maugeri NJ, Lincoln MR, Burrell A, et al. A ChIP-seq defined genome-wide map of vitamin D receptor binding: associations with disease and evolution. *Genome Res* 2010;20(10):1352-60.
13. Moore TC, Moore JE, Kaji Y, Frizzell N, Usui T, Poulaki V, et al. The role of advanced glycation end products in retinal microvascular leukostasis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2003;44(10):4457-64.
14. Schroder S, Palinski W, Schmid-Schonbein GW. Activated monocytes and granulocytes, capillary nonperfusion, and neovascularization in diabetic retinopathy. *Am J Pathol* 1991;139(1):81-100.
15. Kern TS. Contributions of inflammatory processes to the development of the early stages of diabetic retinopathy. *Exp Diabetes Res* 2007;2007:95103.
16. Chibber R, Ben-Mahmud BM, Chibber S, Kohner EM. Leukocytes in diabetic retinopathy. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2007;3(1):3-14.
17. Yamagishi S, Ueda S, Matsui T, Nakamura K, Okuda S. Role of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) and oxidative stress in diabetic retinopathy. *Curr Pharm Des* 2008;14(10):962-8.
18. Gustavsson C, Agardh E, Bengtsson B, Agardh CD. TNF-alpha is an independent serum marker for proliferative retinopathy in type 1 diabetic patients. *J Diabetes Complications* 2008;22(5):309-16.
19. Meleth AD, Agron E, Chan CC, Reed GF, Arora K, Byrnes G, et al. Serum inflammatory markers in diabetic retinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2005;46(11):4295-301.
20. van Hecke MV, Dekker JM, Nijpels G, Moll AC, Heine RJ, Bouter LM, et al. Inflammation and endothelial dysfunction are associated with retinopathy: the Hoorn Study. *Diabetologia* 2005;48(7):1300-6.
21. Nguyen TT, Alibrahim E, Islam FM, Klein R, Klein BE, Cotch MF, et al. Inflammatory, hemostatic, and other novel biomarkers for diabetic retinopathy: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32(9):1704-9.
22. Izuora KE, Chase HP, Jackson WE, Coll JR, Osberg IM, Gottlieb PA, et al. Inflammatory markers and diabetic retinopathy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28(3):714-5.
23. Spijkerman AM, Gall MA, Tarnow L, Twisk JW, Lauritzen E, Lund-Andersen H, et al. Endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation and the progression of retinopathy in Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2007;24(9):969-76.
24. Adamiec-Mroczyk J, Oficjalska-Mlynczak J, Misiuk-Hojlo M. Roles of endothelin-1 and selected proinflammatory cytokines in the pathogenesis of proliferative diabetic retinopathy: Analysis of vitreous samples. *Cytokine*;49(3):269-74.
25. Demircan N, Safran BG, Soyulu M, Ozcan AA, Sizmaz S. Determination of vitreous interleukin-1 (IL-1) and tumour necrosis factor (TNF) levels in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Eye (Lond)* 2006;20(12):1366-9.
26. Elner SG, Elner VM, Jaffe GJ, Stuart A, Kunkel SL, Strieter RM. Cytokines in proliferative diabetic retinopathy and proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Curr Eye Res* 1995;14(11):1045-53.

27. Chen S, Sims GP, Chen XX, Gu YY, Chen S, Lipsky PE. Modulatory effects of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 on human B cell differentiation. *J Immunol* 2007;179(3):1634-47.
28. Adams JS, Ren S, Liu PT, Chun RF, Lagishetty V, Gombart AF, et al. Vitamin d-directed rheostatic regulation of monocyte antibacterial responses. *J Immunol* 2009;182(7):4289-95.
29. Liu PT, Stenger S, Li H, Wenzel L, Tan BH, Krutzik SR, et al. Toll-like receptor triggering of a vitamin D-mediated human antimicrobial response. *Science* 2006;311(5768):1770-3.
30. Fritsche J, Mondal K, Ehrnsperger A, Andreesen R, Kreutz M. Regulation of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3-1 alpha-hydroxylase and production of 1 alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 by human dendritic cells. *Blood* 2003;102(9):3314-6.
31. Hewison M, Freeman L, Hughes SV, Evans KN, Bland R, Eliopoulos AG, et al. Differential regulation of vitamin D receptor and its ligand in human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *J Immunol* 2003;170(11):5382-90.
32. Sigmundsdottir H, Pan J, Debes GF, Alt C, Habtezion A, Soler D, et al. DCs metabolize sunlight-induced vitamin D3 to 'program' T cell attraction to the epidermal chemokine CCL27. *Nat Immunol* 2007;8(3):285-93.
33. Lefebvre d'Hellencourt C, Montero-Menei CN, Bernard R, Couez D. Vitamin D3 inhibits proinflammatory cytokines and nitric oxide production by the EOC13 microglial cell line. *J Neurosci Res* 2003;71(4):575-82.
34. D'Ambrosio D, Cippitelli M, Cocciolo MG, Mazzeo D, Di Lucia P, Lang R, et al. Inhibition of IL-12 production by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. Involvement of NF-kappaB downregulation in transcriptional repression of the p40 gene. *J Clin Invest* 1998;101(1):252-62.
35. Evans KN, Nguyen L, Chan J, Innes BA, Bulmer JN, Kilby MD, et al. Effects of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 on cytokine production by human decidual cells. *Biol Reprod* 2006;75(6):816-22.
36. Mullin GE, Dobs A. Vitamin d and its role in cancer and immunity: a prescription for sunlight. *Nutr Clin Pract* 2007;22(3):305-22.
37. Adorini L, Penna G, Giarratana N, Uskokovic M. Tolerogenic dendritic cells induced by vitamin D receptor ligands enhance regulatory T cells inhibiting allograft rejection and autoimmune diseases. *J Cell Biochem* 2003;88(2):227-33.
38. Talmor Y, Golan E, Benchetrit S, Bernheim J, Klein O, Green J, et al. Calcitriol blunts the deleterious impact of advanced glycation end products on endothelial cells. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2008;294(5):F1059-64.
39. Bernardi RJ, Johnson CS, Modzelewski RA, Trump DL. Antiproliferative effects of 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D(3) and vitamin D analogs on tumor-derived endothelial cells. *Endocrinology* 2002;143(7):2508-14.
40. Shokravi MT, Marcus DM, Alroy J, Egan K, Saornil MA, Albert DM. Vitamin D inhibits angiogenesis in transgenic murine retinoblastoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1995;36(1):83-7.
41. Albert DM, Scheef EA, Wang S, Mehraein F, Darjatmoko SR, Sorenson CM, et al. Calcitriol is a potent inhibitor of retinal neovascularization. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2007;48(5):2327-34.
42. Matthews DR, Stratton IM, Aldington SJ, Holman RR, Kohner EM. Risks of progression of retinopathy and vision loss related to tight blood pressure control in type 2 diabetes mellitus: UKPDS 69. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2004;122(11):1631-40.
43. Feneis JF, Arora RR. Role of Vitamin D in Blood Pressure Homeostasis. *Am J Ther* 2010.
44. Li YC, Qiao G, Uskokovic M, Xiang W, Zheng W, Kong J. Vitamin D: a negative endocrine regulator of the renin-angiotensin system and blood pressure. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2004;89-90(1-5):387-92.
45. Zhou C, Lu F, Cao K, Xu D, Goltzman D, Miao D. Calcium-independent and 1,25(OH)2D3-dependent regulation of the renin-angiotensin system in 1alpha-hydroxylase knockout mice. *Kidney Int* 2008;74(2):170-9.
46. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 1993;329(14):977-86.
47. Joergensen C, Hovind P, Schmedes A, Parving HH, Rossing P. Vitamin d levels, microvascular complications, and mortality in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*;34(5):1081-5.

48. Suzuki A, Kotake M, Ono Y, Kato T, Oda N, Hayakawa N, et al. Hypovitaminosis D in type 2 diabetes mellitus: Association with microvascular complications and type of treatment. *Endocr J* 2006;53(4):503-10.
49. Mitri J, Pittas AG. Diabetes: Shining a light: the role of vitamin D in diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2010;6(9):478-80.
50. Johnson JA, Grande JP, Roche PC, Kumar R. Immunohistochemical localization of the 1,25(OH)2D3 receptor and calbindin D28k in human and rat pancreas. *Am J Physiol* 1994;267(3 Pt 1):E356-60.
51. Cade C, Norman AW. Rapid normalization/stimulation by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 of insulin secretion and glucose tolerance in the vitamin D-deficient rat. *Endocrinology* 1987;120(4):1490-7.
52. Aksoy H, Akcay F, Kurtul N, Baykal O, Avci B. Serum 1,25 dihydroxy vitamin D (1,25(OH)2D3), 25 hydroxy vitamin D (25(OH)D) and parathormone levels in diabetic retinopathy. *Clin Biochem* 2000;33(1):47-51.
53. Payne F, Ray R, Watson DG, Cecile D, Rimler E, Lynn MJ, et al. The Association of Vitamin D Deficiency and Diabetic Retinopathy in diabetic retinopathy [abstract] In: *The American Academy of Ophthalmology*; October 16-19, 2010; Chicago, IL. Abstract P0223. Available from <http://aao.scientificposters.com/aaoSearch.cfm> (Accessed May 27, 2011).
54. Patrick PA, Visintainer PF, Shi Q, Weiss IA, Brand DA. Vitamin D and retinopathy in adults with diabetes mellitus. *Arch Ophthalmol*;130(6):756-60.
55. Klein R, Clegg L, Cooper LS, Hubbard LD, Klein BE, King WN, et al. Prevalence of age-related maculopathy in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1999;117(9):1203-10.
56. Grading diabetic retinopathy from stereoscopic color fundus photographs--an extension of the modified Airlie House classification. ETDRS report number 10. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. *Ophthalmology* 1991;98(5 Suppl):786-806.
57. Klein R, Meuer SM, Moss SE, Klein BE, Neider MW, Reinke J. Detection of age-related macular degeneration using a nonmydriatic digital camera and a standard film fundus camera. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2004;122(11):1642-6.