

ARIC Manuscript Proposal #2077

PC Reviewed: 2/12/13
SC Reviewed: _____

Status: A
Status: _____

Priority: 2
Priority: _____

1.a. Full Title: Functional Status Moderates Mortality Risk Associated with Blood Pressure: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study

b. Abbreviated Title (Length 26 characters): Function and BP-Mortality Risk

2. Writing Group:

B. Gwen Windham, Michael E. Griswold, Seth Lirette, Anna Kucharska-Newton, Randi Foraker, Wayne Rosamond, Thomas H Mosley, Jr., PhD, Others welcome

I, the first author, confirm that all the coauthors have given their approval for this manuscript proposal. bgw

First author: B. Gwen Windham, MD, MHS

Address:

University of Mississippi Medical Center
2500 N. State St
Jackson, MS 39216

Phone: 601-984-5645

Fax: 601-984-5783

E-mail: gwindham@umc.edu

ARIC author to be contacted if there are questions about the manuscript and the first author does not respond or cannot be located (this must be an ARIC investigator).

Name: Thomas H Mosley, Jr., PhD

Address: 2500 N. State St; Jackson, MS 39216

Phone: (601) 984-2763

Fax:

E-mail: tmosley@umc.edu

3. Timeline:

Analysis to begin upon receipt of data

Anticipate abstract will be submitted to a national meeting 2013

Manuscript to ARIC manuscript review committee: Summer/Fall 2013

4. Rationale:

The association between blood pressure (BP) and mortality in older adults remains controversial, with epidemiologic studies reporting U-shaped relationships between BP and mortality, and among the oldest old, a greater chance of survival among those with high blood pressures compared to those with normal blood pressures.¹⁻⁴ Although some randomized controlled trials have demonstrated benefits of lowering blood pressure in

hypertensive persons >60 years old,⁵⁻⁷ and recently ≥ 80 years,⁸ the optimal blood pressure in older adults, particularly those over 80 years old, remains a source of contention.^{9,10} The crux of the controversy generally relates to findings of attenuated or lack of benefit, or even increased overall mortality among participants >80 years of age in hypertension treatment arms.^{5-7,11,12} Although the Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial (HYVET) demonstrated reduced risk of stroke, heart failure, death from stroke and all-cause mortality in persons ≥ 80 years, criticisms of that study included the healthy status of the population, a large proportion of whom were not living in the US. Therefore, findings may not be generalizable to clinical practice typical in the US.¹³ (See letters to the editor, reference #14)

Identifying subgroups of patients who will potentially achieve limited or no benefit, or even harm, from having lower BP, has important clinical implications in BP management. Functional status may help define such subgroups. Self-reported walking speed (fast, medium, slow) was recently reported to modify the relationship between BP and mortality in Latino persons 60 – 101 years old.¹⁴ No relationship between higher BP and mortality was observed among self-identified “slow walkers” in this study while faster walkers had increased mortality risk with higher BP. It is well-known that subjective and objective measures of functional status are associated with risk of death, disability (prevalent and incident), nursing home admission, and other adverse outcomes in older adults¹⁵⁻²⁰ and moderates life expectancy.²¹ However, the moderating effect of functional status on the blood pressure-mortality relationship has not been reported in other populations in the US, nor has any study examined this relationship in a middle- and older-aged community dwelling cohort or in a large population of African Americans (AA).

Factors such as shorter life expectancies may result in adults with poor functional status achieving less benefit from having lower blood pressure. Adults with good functional status adults may achieve marked benefit from attaining lower BP. Defining such subpopulations could guide clinical decision making through the development of individualized treatment regimens that will maximize benefit and minimize risk. To this end, we propose to examine functional status as a moderator of the relationship between blood pressure and mortality in the ARIC cohort.

5. Main Hypothesis/Study Question:

Does self-reported functional status moderate the relationship of blood pressure to cardiovascular and all-cause mortality?

Secondary Question: Does self-reported functional status moderate the relationship of blood pressure to cardiovascular events?

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Statistical Analysis

Overall:

The analysis will use visit 4 data (1996-1998) for self-reported functional status and covariates and subsequent surveillance/event data through 2009 to define mortality and cardiovascular event outcome variables.

Predictor variables:

The primary independent predictors will be continuous systolic blood pressure and functional status, with an interaction term between blood pressure and functional status; this interaction term comprises the focus of our investigations. Systolic blood pressure will also be categorized using standard cutpoints, e.g. $\geq 140/90$, as well as thresholds identified in exploratory analysis of non-linear relationships. Functional status will be defined from the Physical Ability Questionnaire (PAQ) initially using dichotomous variables:

- i. Functional impairment
 - = '0' if reports "No Difficulty" on all items 1-12 of the Physical Activity Questionnaire (PAQ)
 - = '1' if reports with Some, Much, or Unable to Do" response on any one of PAQ questions 1-12
- ii. Disability
 - = '0' if reports "No" for all questions 13-17 on
 - = '1' if reports "Yes" for any q 13-17

We will also examine diastolic blood pressure in separate and combined predictor models.

Outcome variables:

Primary outcome variables will be all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality, which will be defined as mortality due to stroke, heart failure, or coronary heart disease.

Secondary outcome variables will be cardiovascular non-fatal events: stroke, heart failure, coronary heart disease. We anticipate also using a composite outcome incorporating both fatal and non-fatal events.

Covariates

Visit 4 covariates to be considered in full or parsimonious models include: age, sex, race, field center, education, prevalent hypertension, prevalent diabetes, prevalent coronary heart disease, prevalent heart failure, prevalent stroke, body mass index, smoking, physical activity, total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, triglycerides, use of hypertension medications, use of statin medications.

Analysis

Cox Proportional Hazards Models will be used to assess risk in all-cause mortality over time from visit 4 to 2009. Outcomes of cardiovascular mortality and non-fatal events will incorporate a competing risks framework.²²

Non-linear relationships will be assessed using loess smoothers and spline terms.

7.a. Will the data be used for non-CVD analysis in this manuscript? ___ Yes ___ No

b. If Yes, is the author aware that the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude persons with a value RES_OTH = "CVD Research" for non-DNA analysis, and for DNA analysis RES_DNA = "CVD Research" would be used? _____

Yes ___ No

(This file ICTDER03 has been distributed to ARIC PIs, and contains the responses to consent updates related to stored sample use for research.)

8.a. Will the DNA data be used in this manuscript? ___ Yes ___ No

8.b. If yes, is the author aware that either DNA data distributed by the Coordinating Center must be used, or the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude those with value RES_DNA = "No use/storage DNA"?

___ Yes ___ No

9. The lead author of this manuscript proposal has reviewed the list of existing ARIC Study manuscript proposals and has found no overlap between this proposal and previously approved manuscript proposals either published or still in active status. ARIC Investigators have access to the publications lists under the Study Members Area of the web site at: <http://www.csc.unc.edu/ARIC/search.php>

___ Yes _____ No

10. What are the most related manuscript proposals in ARIC (authors are encouraged to contact lead authors of these proposals for comments on the new proposal or collaboration)?

Manuscript # Title

a. 1697 Functional Status and Cardiovascular Disease. Drs. Kucharska-Newton, Foraker, and Rosamond are included as co-authors

11.a. Is this manuscript proposal associated with any ARIC ancillary studies or use any ancillary study data? _____ Yes ___ No

11.b. If yes, is the proposal

___ **A. primarily the result of an ancillary study (list number* _____)**

___ **B. primarily based on ARIC data with ancillary data playing a minor role (usually control variables; list number(s)* _____)**

_____)

*ancillary studies are listed by number at <http://www.csc.unc.edu/aric/forms/>

12a. Manuscript preparation is expected to be completed in one to three years. If a manuscript is not submitted for ARIC review at the end of the 3-years from the date of the approval, the manuscript proposal will expire.

12b. The NIH instituted a Public Access Policy in April, 2008 which ensures that the public has access to the published results of NIH funded research. It is **your responsibility to upload manuscripts to PUBMED Central** whenever the journal does not and be in compliance with this policy. Four files about the public access policy from <http://publicaccess.nih.gov/> are posted in <http://www.csc.unc.edu/aric/index.php>, under Publications, Policies & Forms. http://publicaccess.nih.gov/submit_process_journals.htm shows you which journals automatically upload articles to Pubmed central.

1. Langer RD, Criqui MH, Barrett-Connor EL, Klauber MR, Ganiats TG. Blood pressure change and survival after age 75. *Hypertension*. Oct 1993;22(4):551-559.
2. Boshuizen HC, Izaks GJ, van Buuren S, Ligthart GJ. Blood pressure and mortality in elderly people aged 85 and older: community based study. *Bmj*. Jun 13 1998;316(7147):1780-1784.
3. Mattila K, Haavisto M, Rajala S, Heikinheimo R. Blood pressure and five year survival in the very old. *British medical journal*. Mar 26 1988;296(6626):887-889.
4. Hakala SM, Tilvis RS, Strandberg TE. Blood pressure and mortality in an older population. A 5-year follow-up of the Helsinki Ageing Study. *European heart journal*. Jun 1997;18(6):1019-1023.
5. Amery A, Birkenhager W, Brixko R, et al. Efficacy of antihypertensive drug treatment according to age, sex, blood pressure, and previous cardiovascular disease in patients over the age of 60. *Lancet*. Sep 13 1986;2(8507):589-592.
6. Dahlof B, Lindholm LH, Hansson L, Schersten B, Ekbom T, Wester PO. Morbidity and mortality in the Swedish Trial in Old Patients with Hypertension (STOP-Hypertension). *Lancet*. Nov 23 1991;338(8778):1281-1285.
7. Staessen JA, Fagard R, Thijs L, et al. Subgroup and per-protocol analysis of the randomized European Trial on Isolated Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly. *Archives of internal medicine*. Aug 10-24 1998;158(15):1681-1691.
8. Beckett NS, Peters R, Fletcher AE, et al. Treatment of hypertension in patients 80 years of age or older. *The New England journal of medicine*. May 1 2008;358(18):1887-1898.
9. Aronow WS. Commentary on "Embracing complexity: A consideration of hypertension in the very old". *The journals of gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. Jul 2003;58(7):659-660; discussion 669-670.

10. Goodwin JS. Embracing complexity: A consideration of hypertension in the very old. *The journals of gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. Jul 2003;58(7):653-658.
11. Gueyffier F, Bulpitt C, Boissel JP, et al. Antihypertensive drugs in very old people: a subgroup meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. INDANA Group. *Lancet*. Mar 6 1999;353(9155):793-796.
12. Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. *Bmj*. 2009;338:b1665.
13. Beckett NS, Peters R, Fletcher AE, et al. Treatment of Hypertension in Patients 80 Years of Age or Older. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2008;358(18):1887-1898.
14. Odden MC, Covinsky KE, Neuhaus JM, Mayeda ER, Peralta CA, Haan MN. The association of blood pressure and mortality differs by self-reported walking speed in older Latinos. *The journals of gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. Sep 2012;67(9):977-983.
15. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, et al. Added Value of Physical Performance Measures in Predicting Adverse Health-Related Events: Results from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2009;57(2):251-259.
16. Dumurgier J, Elbaz A, Ducimetiere P, Tavernier B, Alperovitch A, Tzourio C. Slow walking speed and cardiovascular death in well functioning older adults: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. November 10, 2009 2009;339(nov10_2):b4460-.
17. Guralnik J, Ferrucci L, Pieper C, et al. Lower extremity function and subsequent disability: consistency across studies, predictive models, and value of gait speed alone compared with the short physical performance battery. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2000;55:M221-231.
18. Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Simonsick EM, Salive ME, Wallace RB. Lower-Extremity Function in Persons over the Age of 70 Years as a Predictor of Subsequent Disability. *N Engl J Med*. March 2, 1995 1995;332(9):556-562.
19. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, et al. A Short Physical Performance Battery Assessing Lower Extremity Function: Association With Self-Reported Disability and Prediction of Mortality and Nursing Home Admission. *J Gerontol*. March 1, 1994 1994;49(2):M85-94.
20. Vasunilashorn S, Coppin AK, Patel KV, et al. Use of the Short Physical Performance Battery Score to Predict Loss of Ability to Walk 400 Meters: Analysis From the InCHIANTI Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. February 1, 2009 2009;64A(2):223-229.
21. Keeler E, Guralnik JM, Tian H, Wallace RB, Reuben DB. The impact of functional status on life expectancy in older persons. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. Jul 2010;65(7):727-733.

22. Fine J, Gray RJ. A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 1999;94:496-509.