## **ARIC Manuscript Proposal # 1480**

PC Reviewed: 03/17/09 SC Reviewed:	Status: <u>A</u> Status:	Priority: <u>2</u> Priority:
1.a. Full Title: Cystatin C and	venous thromboembolism	
b. Abbreviated Title (Length	<b>26 characters</b> ): Cystatin C ar	nd VTE
2. Writing Group: Writing group members: Aa Mary Cushman	aron Folsom, Pam Lutsey, Bra	nd Astor, Susan Heckbert,
I, the first author, confirm that all manuscript proposal. <u>AF</u> [please writing]	_	
First author: Address: University of Min. Division of Epidemiology ar		
Phone: 612-626-8 E-mail: folso0010		624-0315
ARIC author to be contacted if the does not respond or cannot be loca Name: Address:		
Phone: E-mail:	Fax:	
<b>3. Timeline</b> : Finish by June 09	)	

**4. Rationale**: It is well known that patients with end-stage renal disease are prone to thrombosis but whether lesser degrees of chronic kidney disease is a risk factor for venous thromboembolism (VTE) is uncertain. In a previous LITE paper (1), we found that a creatinine-based measure of GFR was associated inversely with risk of VTE in ARIC and CHS. However, surprisingly Cystatin C, which is a specific kidney disease

marker, was not related to VTE occurrence in CHS. Now that we have Cystatin C in ARIC visit 4, we want to retest this hypothesis using the 263 VTE cases that have occurred since ARIC visit 4, with greater power than we had for CHS previously. We also will examine the association with microalbuminuria measured as the albumin/creatinine ratio, also measured at visit 4.

## 5. Main Hypothesis/Study Questions:

Cystatin C is associated positively with incidence of VTE

Secondary: albumin/creatinine ratio is associated positively with VTE.

6. Design and analysis (study design, inclusion/exclusion, outcome and other variables of interest with specific reference to the time of their collection, summary of data analysis, and any anticipated methodologic limitations or challenges if present).

Design: cohort

Endpoint: VTE incidence

Exposure: visit 4 cystatin C (and cystatin derived GFR) and albumin/creatinine

Astor did a small (n=40) calibration study comparing Baylor cystatin C to the Cleveland Clinic, and found a relatively constant difference, where Cleveland Clinic was 16% higher than Baylor (i.e., Cleveland Clinic = 1.16\* Baylor). We are using these recalibrated values in our analyses. Then estimated GFR by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration = 127.7 x (recalibrated cystatin C in mg/dL)-1.17 x (age in years)-0.13 x (0.91 if female) x (1.06 if black). (2)

Main covariates: age, race, sex, center, BMI

Analysis: Cox proportional hazards, with exposures modeled as continuous variables and as quartiles. Also look at clinically defined categories.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Wattanakit K, et al. Chronic kidney disease increases risk for venous thromboembolism. J Am Soc Nephrol 2008;19:135-40.
- 2. Stevens LA, Coresh J, Schmid CH, Feldman HI, Froissart M, Kusek J, Rossert J, Van LF, Bruce RD, III, Zhang YL, Greene T, Levey AS: Estimating GFR using serum cystatin C alone and in combination with serum creatinine: a pooled analysis of 3,418 individuals with CKD. Am J Kidney Dis 51:395-406, 2008

7.a. Will the data be used for non-CVD analysis in this manuscript? Yes _x No	
b. If Yes, is the author aware that the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude persons with a value RES_OTH = "CVD Research" for non-DNA analysis, an for DNA analysis RES_DNA = "CVD Research" would be used?  Yes No (This file ICTDER03 has been distributed to ARIC PIs, and contains the responses to consent updates related to stored sample use for research.)	d
8.a. Will the DNA data be used in this manuscript? Yes No	
8.b. If yes, is the author aware that either DNA data distributed by the Coordinating Center must be used, or the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude those with value RES_DNA = "No use/storage DNA"?  Yes No	
8.c. If yes, is the author aware that the participants with RES_DNA = 'not for profit' restriction must be excluded if the data are used by a for profit group? YesNo	
9.The lead author of this manuscript proposal has reviewed the list of existing ARI Study manuscript proposals and has found no overlap between this proposal and previously approved manuscript proposals either published or still in active status ARIC Investigators have access to the publications lists under the Study Members Area of the web site at: <a href="http://www.cscc.unc.edu/ARIC/search.php">http://www.cscc.unc.edu/ARIC/search.php</a>	
x Yes No	
No overlap other than our previous article cited above.	
10. What are the most related manuscript proposals in ARIC (authors are encouraged to contact lead authors of these proposals for comments on the new proposal or collaboration)?	
11. a. Is this manuscript proposal associated with any ARIC ancillary studies or us any ancillary study data?	se
11.b. If yes, is the proposal _x A. primarily the result of an ancillary study (list number* 1998.03 at 2006.16) B. primarily based on ARIC data with ancillary data playing a mino	
role (usually control variables; list number(s)*	_

\*ancillary studies are listed by number at <a href="http://www.cscc.unc.edu/aric/forms/">http://www.cscc.unc.edu/aric/forms/</a>

12. Manuscript preparation is expected to be completed in one to three years. If a manuscript is not submitted for ARIC review at the end of the 3-years from the date of the approval, the manuscript proposal will expire.