#### ARIC Manuscript Proposal #3614 (revised)

PC Reviewed: 6/9/20	Status:	Priority: 2
SC Reviewed:	Status:	Priority:

**1.a. Full Title**: Remnant cholesterol discordance with low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and Apolipoprotein B and incident atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease

#### b. Abbreviated Title (Length 26 characters): Remnant cholesterol and ASCVD

## 2. Writing Group:

Johns Hopkins University	1 <sup>st</sup> author, Analyst
Weill Cornell Medical College-Qatar	
Johns Hopkins University	
Johns Hopkins University	
Johns Hopkins University	
University of Pittsburgh	
Cleveland Clinic	
Johns Hopkins University	
Johns Hopkins University	
University of Minnesota	
University of Minnesota	
Monash University – Australia	
Baylor College of Medicine	
Weill Cornell Medical College-Qatar	Senior Author
	Johns Hopkins University Weill Cornell Medical College-Qatar Johns Hopkins University Johns Hopkins University University of Pittsburgh Cleveland Clinic Johns Hopkins University Johns Hopkins University University of Minnesota University of Minnesota Monash University – Australia Baylor College of Medicine Weill Cornell Medical College-Qatar

I, the first author, confirm that all the coauthors have given their approval for this manuscript proposal. **RQ** 

First author:	Renato Quispe, MD		
Address:	Clinical Fellow		
	Department of Cardiology		
	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine		
	600 North Wolfe Street		
	Carnegie 591		
	Baltimore MD 21287		
Phone:	410-955-7376	Fax: 410-614-9190	
E-mail:	jquispe1@jhmi.edu		

**ARIC author** to be contacted if there are questions about the manuscript and the first author does not respond or cannot be located (this must be an ARIC investigator).

Name: Erin D. Michos, MD, MHS Address: Blalock 524-B, Division of Cardiology, 600 N. Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21287 Phone: 410-502-6813 Fax: 410-502-0231 E-mail: edonnell@jhmi.edu

#### Timeline:

We aim to submit the manuscript within the next 3 months.

#### Background:

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) has prevailed as the leading cause of mortality globally for the past fifteen years. Despite leaps in pharmacotherapy targeting ASCVD – from fibrates to statins – ischemic heart disease and stroke continue to be the world's biggest killers, accounting for 15.2 million deaths in 2016 alone<sup>1</sup>.

Statins, which are well-established to lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), have tremendously reduced ASCVD globally<sup>2</sup>. Nevertheless, studies have found that there still remains a considerable residual risk of ASCVD in statin-treated individuals<sup>3</sup>. One of the theories is that some lipid parameters, other than LDL-C or non-HDL-C, could contribute to residual risk. A burgeoning target of interest is remnant cholesterol (RC)<sup>4,5</sup> – the atherogenic cholesterol content of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, commonly estimated as [Non-HDL-C minus LDL-C]<sup>6</sup>.

Previous studies have established RC to be causally associated with atheroma progression and ischemic heart disease (IHD). For instance, a 2016 study of 5414 Danish patients diagnosed with IHD showed that increased levels of remnant cholesterol were significantly correlated with increased all-cause mortality<sup>7</sup>, with a multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (HR) of 1.5 for RC level  $\geq$ 1.5mmol/L compared to patients with RC level <0.5mmol/L (*P*<0.001). A 2013 Mendelian randomization study of 60,608 participants found that nonfasting remnant cholesterol level was causally associated with higher rates of ischemic heart disease<sup>8</sup>, with a 1mmol/L increase in RC corresponding to a causal risk ratio for IHD of 3.3 (95% confidence interval, 2.1-5.2). In the same study, a 1mmol/L increase in RC was also causally associated with a 28% higher level of C-reactive protein (95% confidence interval, 10-48), suggesting that residual cholesterol may play a role in driving the low-grade inflammation associated with atherosclerosis. Our group recently showed that higher on-treatment levels of RC are significantly associated with greater percentage atheroma volume progression rates and higher 2-year major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients on statin therapy<sup>9</sup>.

Applying analytical approaches used by our group in previous ARIC studies<sup>10,11</sup>, studying the association of RC with incidence of cardiovascular diseases when discordant with LDL-C levels (i.e. when levels of LDL-C are low but levels of RC are high) would provide further insight into the additional prognostic ability of RC beyond LDL-C. Furthermore, assessing discordance

with plasma levels of apolipoprotein B (apoB) would help to elucidate the risk driven by RC independent of total concentration of atherogenic particles in serum<sup>12</sup>. Complementary analyses in several subgroups, such as individuals with diabetes, presence of subclinical inflammation (determined by hsCRP), or females would provide additional insights related to the potential role of RC in the mechanism of disease in these high-risk groups.

#### Aims:

Our aim is to investigate whether discordance between RC and LDL-C levels is associated with incidence of cardiovascular events in an asymptomatic primary prevention cohort with long-term follow-up, independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors and, most importantly, levels of apoB (as a comprehensive measure of total burden of apoB-containing particles).

**Study Design:** We will examine the independent association between RC levels and incident cardiovascular events. The baseline for this analysis will be ARIC visit 4. This is the visit that apoB was measured at. We will use data from two other primary prevention cohorts: Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) and Multi-ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA), pending approval.

Inclusions: We will include all participants free of an ASCVD event who attended ARIC visit 4.

**Exclusions:** We will exclude participants who had prevalent coronary heart disease (CHD) at baseline or who had an incident ASCVD event before visit 4, our baseline. We will exclude individuals with missing data for the standard lipid profile and apoB. We will exclude participants who are neither white nor black race, as well as blacks from MN and MD sites due to small numbers.

**Exposures:** The main exposure of interest will be RC, which will be estimated as TC minus HDL-C minus LDL-C estimated by the Martin equation<sup>13</sup>. Although there is no definite estimation for RC, our definition has been used in several studies<sup>13,14,15</sup>.

Levels of RC will be assessed as medians (< and  $\geq$  median) in the primary analysis across categories of LDL-C (< and  $\geq$  median) estimated by the Martin equation<sup>13</sup>. Of note, LDL-C will be estimated (using the Martin equation), and no directly measured LDL-C will be used in order to make our analysis more reproducible and to make our findings more clinically applicable. In a secondary analysis, we will perform a similar analysis comparing RC with apoB (< and  $\geq$ median).

**Outcomes:** The <u>primary outcome</u> will be ASCVD events, defined as incident CHD, fatal CHD, and stroke occurring after the Baseline (Visit 4) through December 31, 2016 (or most recent follow-up available). Incident ASCVD will be defined as definite or probable nonfatal myocardial infarction or fatal CHD, definite or probable stroke (defined as sudden or rapid onset of neurological symptoms that lasted for 24 hours or led to death in the absence of another cause). As <u>secondary outcomes</u>, we will include total mortality and incident heart failure occurring after baseline visit through December 31, 2016 (or most recent follow-up available).

**Covariates:** Other covariates that will be further included in models are: age, sex, race/center, BMI (in kg/m<sup>2</sup>), systolic blood pressure (in mmHg), use of antihypertensive medications, log-triglycerides, apoB levels, hsCRP, diabetes mellitus (defined as fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dl, or self-reported physician diagnosis of diabetes or use of diabetes medications), smoking status (in pack-years), physical activity, use of lipid-lowering medication.

## Main Analyses:

- 1) We will exclude participants based on the above exclusion criteria.
- 2) We will estimate "Martin" RC and divide our population using medians: below (< median) and at or greater than the median (≥median).
- We will identify the number of individuals with concordance/discordance between LDL-C and RC following the same categories:

- Individuals with LDL-C < median <u>and</u> RC < median (*REFERENCE group*) <u>versus</u>

- Individuals with LDL-C < median and RC ≥ median
- Individuals with LDL-C  $\geq$  median and RC < median
- Individuals with LDL-C  $\geq$  median <u>and</u> RC  $\geq$  median
- 4) Baseline characteristics of the study participants will be analyzed in each of these groups will be described.
- 5) Kaplan-Meier estimates of cumulative event-free survival will be used to describe the incidence of our primary (cardiovascular events) and secondary outcomes (total mortality and incident heart failure).
- 6) We will construct Cox proportional hazard models to estimate hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) for each outcome (primary and secondary) using the following models:

-Model 1: adjusted by age, sex and race

-Model 2: Model 1 + smoking status + BMI+ systolic blood pressure + treatment for hypertension + diabetes + statin use

-Model 3: Model 2 + apoB

-Model 4: Model 3 + log-hsCRP

-Model 5: Model 4 + log-HDL-C

7) We will reproduce analyses shown in (6) by non-HDL-C (< and  $\geq$  median) and apoB (< and  $\geq$  median).

8) As secondary analysis, we will perform similar analyses in steps 3-6 in the following groups:

- Individuals with apoB < median <u>and</u> RC < median (*REFERENCE group*)

versus

- Individuals with apoB < median <u>and</u> RC ≥ median
- Individuals with apoB  $\geq$  median <u>and</u> RC < median
- Individuals with apoB  $\geq$  median <u>and</u> RC  $\geq$  median
- 9) We will reproduce all analyses stratifying by: sex (male vs. female), diabetes (diabetics vs. nondiabetics), elevated hsCRP (<2 vs. ≥2 mg/L), statins use, risk categories based

on the Pooled Cohort Risk Equations (low <7.5% vs. high risk  $\geq$ 7.5%), and triglyceride categories (<100, 100-199, 200-399 mg/dL).

## Limitations:

- There is the likelihood for some residual confounding by other risk factors not included in these models.
- Interim initiation of lipid lowering medication likely will modify the association between lipid discordance and ASCVD events.
- We will not have serial apoB measurements over the follow-up time.

7.a.	Will the data be used for non-CVD analysis in this manuscript?	Yes
<u>X</u>	_ No	

b. If Yes, is the author aware that the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude persons with a value RES\_OTH = "CVD Research" for non-DNA analysis, and for DNA analysis RES\_DNA = "CVD Research" would be used? \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No (This file ICTDER03 has been distributed to ARIC PIs, and contains the responses to consent updates related to stored sample use for research.)

8.a. Will the DNA data be used in this manuscript? \_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_X\_\_ No

8.b. If yes, is the author aware that either DNA data distributed by the Coordinating Center must be used, or the file ICTDER03 must be used to exclude those with value RES\_DNA = "No use/storage DNA"? \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No

9. The lead author of this manuscript proposal has reviewed the list of existing ARIC Study manuscript proposals and has found no overlap between this proposal and previously approved manuscript proposals either published or still in active status. ARIC Investigators have access to the publications lists under the Study Members Area of the web site at: <u>http://www.cscc.unc.edu/ARIC/search.php</u>

<u>X</u> Yes No

10. What are the most related manuscript proposals in ARIC (authors are encouraged to contact lead authors of these proposals for comments on the new proposal or collaboration)?

Similar ARIC Manuscripts:

2753 (Quispe) The clinical impact of TC/HDL-C discordance with LDL-C, non-HDL-C and apoB: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study

Notes: this proposal looked at discordance between TC/HDL-C vs. LDL-C and non-HDL-C using median cutpoints in the overall population. ApoB discordance was not assessed. The baseline population for this proposal was ARIC 1<sup>st</sup> visit, whereas for the current proposal we will use ARIC 4<sup>th</sup> (where apoB data is available).

# 3095 (Quispe): The prognostic utility of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein when discordant with atherogenic lipoproteins in a primary prevention bi-racial population: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study

Notes: this proposal did not include RC as one of the covariates.

# 2818 (Saeed): The association of remnant-like particle cholesterol (RLP-c) and LDL-TG with cardiovascular events: ARIC study

Notes: This proposal used RLP-c - measured by immunochemistry from an ancillary study by Ron Hoogeveen, PhD (AS#2014.39) – categorized by quartiles (1<sup>st</sup> quartile was reference group) and did not include apoB as a covariate in the models. They did not use discordance analysis. Drs. Saeed and Ballantyne were coauthors on that study and are now coauthors on our current proposal. Finally, in our proposal we are estimating RC similar to what previous studies have done, using information readily available from the standard lipid panel.

# 11. a. Is this manuscript proposal associated with any ARIC ancillary studies or use any ancillary study data?

11.b. If yes, is the proposal

A primarily	v the result of	f an ancillan	v etudy (liet	numbor*	۱
 A. primarin	y the result of	i all allullar	y ຣເບບy (ແຣເ	number	/

D primarily based on AF				
B. primarily based on Ar	RIC data with and	illary data playi	ng a minor role (usuali	y
control variables; list number(s	s)*	)		

\*ancillary studies are listed by number at http://www.cscc.unc.edu/aric/forms/

# 12. Manuscript preparation is expected to be completed in one to three years. If a manuscript is not submitted for ARIC review at the end of the 3-years from the date of the approval, the manuscript proposal will expire.

## **References**

- 1. Global Health Estimates 2016: Disease burden by Cause, Age, Sex, by Country and by Region, 2000-2016. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.
- Ference BA, Ginsberg HN, Graham I, et al. Low-density lipoproteins cause atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.
  Evidence from genetic, epidemiologic, and clinical studies. A consensus statement from the European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel. European heart journal 2017;38:2459-72.
- 3. Schwartz GG, Olsson AG, Ezekowitz MD, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on early recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndromes: the MIRACL study: a randomized controlled trial. Jama 2001;285:1711-8.

- 4. Joshi PH, Martin SS, Blumenthal RS. The remnants of residual risk. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2015;65:2276-8.
- 5. Schwartz GG, Abt M, Bao W, et al. Fasting triglycerides predict recurrent ischemic events in patients with acute coronary syndrome treated with statins. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2015;65:2267-75.
- Triglycerides, Remnant Cholesterol and Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease. American College of Cardiology, 2019. 2020, at <u>https://www.acc.org/latest-in-</u> <u>cardiology/articles/2019/02/07/09/47/triglycerides-remnant-cholesterol-and-atherosclerotic-</u> <u>cv-disease</u>.)
- Jepsen AM, Langsted A, Varbo A, Bang LE, Kamstrup PR, Nordestgaard BG. Increased Remnant Cholesterol Explains Part of Residual Risk of All-Cause Mortality in 5414 Patients with Ischemic Heart Disease. Clinical chemistry 2016;62:593-604.
- 8. Vallejo-Vaz AJ, Fayyad R, Boekholdt SM, et al. Triglyceride-Rich Lipoprotein Cholesterol and Risk of Cardiovascular Events Among Patients Receiving Statin Therapy in the TNT Trial. Circulation 2018;138:770-81.
- 9. Elshazly MB, Mani P, Nissen S, et al. Remnant cholesterol, coronary atheroma progression and clinical events in statin-treated patients with coronary artery disease. European journal of preventive cardiology 2019:2047487319887578.
- 10.Quispe R, Elshazly MB, Zhao D, et al. Total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio discordance with LDL-cholesterol and non-HDL-cholesterol and incidence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in primary prevention: The ARIC study. European journal of preventive cardiology 2019:2047487319862401.
- 11.Quispe R, Michos ED, Martin SS, et al. High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein Discordance With Atherogenic Lipid Measures and Incidence of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease in Primary Prevention: The ARIC Study. Journal of the American Heart Association 2020;9:e013600.
- 12.Wilkins JT, Li RC, Sniderman A, Chan C, Lloyd-Jones DM. Discordance Between Apolipoprotein B and LDL-Cholesterol in Young Adults Predicts Coronary Artery Calcification: The CARDIA Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016 Jan 19;67(2):193-201.
- 13. Faridi KF, Quispe R, Martin SS, et al. Comparing different assessments of remnant lipoprotein cholesterol: The very large database of lipids. Journal of clinical lipidology 2019;13:634-44.
- 14. Varbo A, Benn M, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Elevated remnant cholesterol causes both low-grade inflammation and ischemic heart disease, whereas elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol causes ischemic heart disease without inflammation. Circulation 2013;128:1298-309.
- 15.Varbo A, Benn M, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Jorgensen AB, Frikke-Schmidt R, Nordestgaard BG. Remnant cholesterol as a causal risk factor for ischemic heart disease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2013;61:427-36.